

# AMERICAN CUMO MINING CORPORATION (FORMERLY MOSQUITO CONSOLIDATED GOLD MINES LIMITED)

Management's Discussion and Analysis September 30, 2014

#### INTRODUCTION

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of the results of operations and financial position of American CuMo Mining Corporation ("CuMoCo") together with its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") is prepared as of November 28, 2014 and should be read in conjunction with the Company's condensed consolidated interim financial statements and notes for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 ("Q1-2014") and the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and notes for the year ended June 30, 2014 ("fiscal 2014").

The Company's financial statements are reported under International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

The Company's reporting currency is the Canadian dollar and all figures in this MD&A are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Some of the statements in this MD&A are forward-looking statements that are subject to risk factors set out in the cautionary note contained herein.

CuMoCo is a Canadian mineral exploration and development company that has historically focused on identifying, acquiring and developing natural resource opportunities in the United States and Canada. The Company's flagship project is the CuMo molybdenum project (the "CuMo Project"), located in Idaho, in the United States.

CuMoCo's common shares are listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V") under the trading symbol "MLY" and on the OTCQX Stock Exchange in the United States under the trading symbol "MLYCF".

#### **CORPORATE OVERVIEW**

At the Company's contested special meeting of shareholders held on October 16, 2012, (the "Meeting"), the shareholders of the Company approved the election of a new board of directors (the "Board"). Each member of the Board was proposed for election by a group of concerned shareholders and received votes in favour representing over 2/3 of the votes cast by proxy.

In December 2012, shareholders of the Company approved a corporate rebranding and the Company changed its name to American CuMo Mining Corporation.

Since October 2012, management has devoted considerable efforts to understanding the state of the Company and its financial affairs and has taken steps to secure equipment, terminate all non-core business operations, establish controls and procedures, and implement a plan to sell real estate, vehicles and other equipment that are not required to advance the development of the CuMo Project.

The Company also retained the services of an independent forensic audit firm to investigate business transactions conducted by prior management. As a result of the findings of the forensic audit, during the Quarter ended September 30, 2013 ("Q1-2014"), the Company filed a Notice for Civil Claim for damages against certain former members of management and a private company controlled by former members of management. (see CONTINGENCIES).

Management has determined that it is in the best interest of its shareholders to focus the Company's activities towards advancing the CuMo Project while restoring the credibility and professionalism of the project with stakeholders and other interested groups.

During 2014, management completed the selling of the Company's non-core assets and continued to resolve issues that had been plaguing the Company.

During the Quarter ending September 30, 2014 the following events occurred:

Two legal actions were commenced against the Company by INTERNATIONAL ENERGY & MINERAL RESOURCES INVESTMENT (HONG KONG) COMPANY LIMITED (IEMR), a company owned and controlled by Hongxue Fu, the ex-chairman and ex-president and a current director of the Company and his wife.

The first action was against the Company and Shaun Dykes, Chief Operating Officer, claiming oppression. No evidence or supporting documents was filed, with the case consisting of a series of unfounded and unsupported accusations. The case was filed June 17, 2014 and at a hearing on July 17, 2014, the British Columbia Court summarily stayed the case and awarded costs to the Company and Mr. Dykes.

The second action was delivered to the Company on the evening prior to a special shareholder meeting held July 22, 2014. The lawsuit was filed by IEMR in an attempt to place the Company into receivership. Hongxue Fu was still chairman and director of the Company at the time the lawsuit was filed.

At a hearing on August 1, 2014, the case was adjourned at the request of IEMR's counsel. The suit has been scheduled to be heard January 27 and 28 2015, The company has made all interest payments, and insists that the debentures are in good standing, and the Company is vigorously defending this suit.

On July 22, 2014, a special meeting of the shareholders took place in order to add an additional experienced director, Thomas Conway, to the board and to remove Mr. Fu and Mr. Xie from the board. The meeting was successful in adding Mr. Conway to the board, but due to a technicality failed to achieve the 66 2/3 majority vote required to remove Hongxue Fu and Mr. Xie.

At the board meeting convened following the special meeting another experienced director, Trevor Burns, was elected as a new director and Hongxue Fu and Charles Yuen were removed from their management positions. John Moeller was appointed Chairman, Shaun Dykes as President and CEO, and Trevor Burns as Interim CFO.

On August 22, 2014 the Company modified the \$25 million silver debenture financing (announced December 18, 2013) increasing the interest to 8.5%, the number of ounces in each silver unit to 375,000 and making the debenture secured against the assets of Idaho CuMo Mining Corporation. Up to 100 units priced at \$250,000 units are to be sold with each unit consisting of a seven-year debenture paying interest semi-annually at 8.5% and having the right to enter into a contract to purchase 375,000 ounces of silver at \$5 per ounce from 50% of production at the CuMo Project. The contract is triggered upon delivery of a decision to place the CuMo Project into production.

Also on August 22, 2014, the Company announced a private placement of 10 million units at a price of 0.05 to raise \$500,000. Each unit is comprised of a share and a two-year warrant to purchase a share at 0.10. There is a warrant acceleration clause that states that should the share price trade at or above 0.12 for a period of 10 consecutive days, the warrant holder would have 20 days to exercise the warrant. The private placement closed on October 10, 2014 and was oversubscribed with 11 million units being sold for proceeds of \$550,000.

The Company continues to successfully resolve issues plaguing the Company and move forward with the advancement of the CuMo Project.

### **EXPLORATION PROJECTS**

The Company's flagship project is the CuMo Project, located in Idaho, in the United States. The Company's Boise property, also located in Idaho, is contiguous to and provides access to the CuMo Project.

The Company has other unproven mineral right interests in the United States and in Canada, which have been either optioned to other exploration companies, or written down to a nominal carrying value.

#### CUMO PROJECT

The CuMo Project is situated in the mountains of south-central Idaho, approximately 15 miles northeast of the town of Idaho City. Good all-weather highway and logging roads provide easy access to the project from Idaho City. The Project consists of eight unpatented mineral claims.

Geologically, the Project is situated along the northeast trending Trans-Challis Structural Zone in a complex assemblage of Tertiary age felsic dykes and stocks that intrude quartz monzonite of the Idaho batholith. Between 1973 and 1981 Cyprus Amax Minerals Company ("Amax") drilled 26 holes totaling 30,821 feet and in 1982, produced a computer generated Kriged block model for the project.

In 1997, the Project was acquired by Cumo Molybdenum Mining, Inc., who in 2004 optioned it to the Company. The terms of the option agreement called a combination of advance royalty payments, 300,000 CuMo shares (issued) and work requirements, as outlined below.

- 1. Advance royalty payments:
  - US\$10,000 upon signing (completed);
  - US\$10,000 after 60 days (completed);
  - US\$5,000 after 6 months (completed);
  - US\$20,000 1st year anniversary (completed);
  - US\$20,000 2nd year anniversary (completed);
  - US\$15,000 3rd year anniversary (completed);
  - US\$15,000 every 6 months thereafter (up-to-date).

These payments are to be credited against a 1.5% net smelter return ("NSR") which reduces to 0.5% NSR after cumulative payments of US\$3,000,000.

- 2. Work requirements:
  - US\$25,000 during the first year (completed);
  - At least US\$50,000 each year thereafter (up-to-date).

In November 2009 CuMoCo announced the results of an independent National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101") compliant Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA") managed by Ausenco Minerals Canada Inc. ("Ausenco"), a Vancouver-based engineering firm with corporate headquarters in Brisbane, Australia.

In April 2011 the Company announced an updated NI 43-101 compliant indicated and inferred resource estimate for the Project which significantly expanded the overall mineral resource and further confirmed that CuMo is the largest un-mined open pit accessible primary molybdenum project. Snowden Mining Industry Consultants, an independent internationally recognized mineral industry consultant, calculated the estimate. At the request of the TSX-V, due to minor deficiencies with the certificates and consents of some of the Qualified Persons on the report, the resource report was re-filed in July 2012. The re-filed report contained no changes to the resource numbers, the only changes in the report were in respect of some of the responsibilities and declarations.

In May 2012 the Company initiated a 15,000 foot diamond drilling program to further explore the Project. A total of six holes have been completed to date.

In September 2012 the United States District Court - Idaho District (the "Court") decided in favor of the United States Forest Service ("USFS") and its Finding of No Significant Impact ("FONSI") at the Project. The USFS had been challenged by local environmental groups over its Environmental Assessment determination for CuMo. The Court noted the USFS had developed insufficient baseline data on groundwater quality and directed the USFS to undertake further analysis concerning groundwater and to prepare additional National Environmental Policy Act studies or to provide a reasonable explanation as to why exploration impacts would be insignificant. The USFS subsequently directed the Company to suspend work that might have groundwater interaction, including drilling.

During fiscal 2014, the Company worked to resolve this matter and continued to advance the necessary studies and assessments required for the USFS's Supplemental Environmental Assessment of the CuMo Project. The Supplemental Environmental Assessment was issued on August 15, 2013 and follows the USFS's 2011 Decision Notice and FONSI which will enable fulfillment of a federal judge's order to carry out studies necessary for the completion of the exploration phase of the CuMo Project. Following the release of the Supplemental Environmental Assessment, a 30-day public comment period took place, which ended satisfactorily on September 18, 2013. The USFS has entered a protracted process of responding to the comments that were received and as of June 30, 2014 the process was still ongoing. The recent schedule released shows the work to be completed by early 2015.

Work continued during the quarter to finalize the documents required to obtain the permit. The property remains in good standing.

#### **BOISE COUNTY PROPERTY**

On July 8, 2010, the Company entered into an option agreement, amended on July 5, 2011, to purchase certain mineral claims that included surface rights located in Boise County, Idaho. These patent mineral claims are contiguous to and provide access to the CuMo Project. In order to maintain the option in good standing, the Company was required to make option payments of US\$ 1,200,000. These payments have been completed and the Company has obtained title to the Boise County property, which becomes part of the overall CuMo Project.

#### PINE TREE PROPERTY

The Pine Tree property consists of 61 claims (510 hectares) located in the Pilot Mountains, Mineral County in western Nevada. The primary target on the property is a copper-molybdenum-rhenium porphyry deposit, with potential gold, silver and tungsten by-products.

In 2005 the Company entered into an option agreement to purchase the Pine Tree property through a combination of advance royalty payments that are currently \$25,000 per year until a total of US\$2,000,000 (the "NSR Royalty") has been paid after which a 2% NSR shall be reduced to 0.5%, share payments totaling 300,000 shares of the Company (completed) and an exploration commitment of US\$450,000 (completed).

On June 25, 2010 (the "Effective Date"), the Company entered into an option agreement with IEMR Resources Inc. (formerly, Trans National Minerals Inc.) ("IEMR"). Pursuant to the agreement, IEMR acquired an option to purchase a 100% interest in the Pine Tree property. In order to maintain the option in good standing, IEMR is required to make the following payments and share issuances to the Company:

	Cash payments	Common shares
On the Effective Date (completed)	\$ 200,000	1,000,000
On or before the first anniversary of the Effective Date (completed)	200,000	1,000,000
On or before the second anniversary of the Effective Date (completed)	200,000	1,000,000
On or before the third anniversary of the Effective Date (completed)	200,000	1,000,000
On or before the fourth anniversary of the Effective Date	200,000	1,000,000
Total	\$1,000,000	5,000,000

In addition, IEMR must incur aggregate exploration and development expenditures on the property of \$3,000,000 on or before the fourth anniversary of the Effective Date, subject to minimum expenditures of \$500,000 incurred each year on or before the anniversary of the Effective Date (up-to-date).

During the option period, IEMR will also be responsible for making advance royalty payments of US\$25,000 per year to the holders of the NSR Royalty. All payments are presently current and the agreement is in good standing.

In fiscal 2013, the Company conducted an impairment analysis of the Pine Tree property and proceeded to impair the property to the carrying value of the then remaining option payments. An impairment expense of \$6,652,857 resulted from this adjustment.

In December 2013, in exchange for an early payment of US\$185,000 and the return of 4 million shares, the Pine Tree option deal was closed and the property sold to IEMR Resources. The only remaining issue to be resolved is the outstanding bond on the property for \$41,155. The Company intends to proceed with recovering the bond.

### **BLACKPOINT PROPERTY**

The Blackpoint gold-silver property is located in Eureka County, Nevada. The Company completed limited exploration work on this property and during the fiscal year 2008, management wrote down the carrying value of the property to a nominal amount.

On August 24, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with Urastar to sell a 100% interest in the BlackPoint property.

During the year ended June 30, 2012, cash payments of \$246,810 (US\$250,000) and 250,000 Urastar shares (valued on receipt at \$66,250) were received by the Company, who recorded a gain on disposal of unproven mineral right interests of \$313,060.

Urastar terminated this agreement during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 and returned the Blackpoint property to the Company.

On September 1, 2014 the property claims were not renewed and the property was dropped in order to concentrate on the CuMo Project.

#### **OTHER UNITED STATES PROPERTIES**

**Spruce Mountain Property**: In 2006 the Company signed a purchase agreement for 53 patented claims on Spruce Mountain, Elko County, Nevada. The property covers a large molybdenum porphyry system containing silver, rhenium and copper. The carrying value of the property was written down to a nominal value during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

**Motley Property:** In 2007 the Company entered into an agreement to purchase four unpatented claims located in the state of Idaho. The carrying value of the property was written down to a nominal value during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Subsequent to June 30, 2014, these claims were formally dropped by the Company.

**Spring Creek Property:** Between 2007 and 2008 the Company staked several claims in Montana and Idaho that adjoin the Motley property, collectively the Spring Creek Project. The carrying value of the property was written down to a nominal value during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. On September 1, 2014, these claims were formally dropped by the Company.

**Copper Chief Property:** In 2007 the Company staked 40 claims known as the Copper Chief property in Mina, Nevada, approximately 4 miles north of Pine Tree property. The carrying value of the property was written down to a nominal value during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. In August 2013, a 75% interest on this property was optioned out. Subsequent to June 30, 2014 the option agreement was cancelled and the property dropped.

#### **CANADIAN PROPERTIES**

**Cariboo:** The Company was originally formed to establish a mining complex on the former producing mines known as Island Mountain Mine and Cariboo Gold Quartz Mine, located near Wells, in British Columbia. In 1994 an agreement was reached with Barkerville Gold Mines Limited ("Barkerville") under which the Company granted to Barkerville the right to earn a 50% in the Cariboo property.

In December 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to sell to Barkerville all residual property interests owned by the Company in the Cariboo property for \$5,000,000.00. These interests included a 50% Interest in the Cariboo Gold Quartz property, placer mining rights on CuMo Creek and a 3% Net Smelter Return royalty on the Cariboo Gold Quartz Mine property, Island Mountain Mine property and CuMo Creek Mine property.

In January 2012, Barkerville paid the \$5,000,000 amount to the Company, who recorded a gain on disposal of unproven mineral right interests of \$4,992,448 after adjustments to capitalized expenses associated with the property, as the carrying value of the property had been impaired to a nominal value of \$1 in prior fiscal years.

**Brett Claim Group:** In 2003 the Company completed an agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Brett #1 and Brett #2 mineral claims located in the Vernon Mining District of British Columbia for a consideration of 500,000 shares of the Company. In 2004, Running Fox Resource Corp. ("Running Fox") and the Company entered into an option agreement on the Brett property whereby Running Fox earned a 50% interest in the property in 2004. The carrying value of the property was written down to a nominal value during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

In 2011, the Company entered into an agreement with Running Fox whereby the Company sold to Running Fox, subject to a NSR, the Company's remaining 50% interest in the Brett property.

Consideration for this transaction consisted of a cash payment of \$1,000,000 and 3,000,000 common shares of Running Fox. In addition to this consideration, the Company retains a sliding-scale royalty on the property based on the price of gold as follows:

- Gold price up to \$500 per ounce: 2% NSR;
- Gold price between \$501 and \$1,000 per ounce: 3% NSR;
- Gold price between \$1001 and \$1,500 per ounce: 5% NSR;
- Gold price between \$1501 and \$2,000 per ounce: 6% NSR;
- Gold price over \$2,001 per ounce: 8% NSR.

On December 20, 2013, the Company entered into an agreement (the "Agreement") whereby Ximen Mining Corp. ("Ximen) may acquire the Brett Royalty. The consideration payable to the Company consists of up to \$1,350,000 cash and 100,000 common shares of Ximen payable prior to the third anniversary of the Agreement. In addition, there are additional share issuances of \$120,000 payable in shares due by the third year of the Agreement. The number of shares to be issued shall be calculated based on the deemed price per share being the volume-weighted average closing price (subject to TSX-V minimum pricing) of Ximen's common shares on the TSX-V for the ten trading days prior to the date the payment is due. The securities to be received pursuant to the Agreement will be subject to a four month hold period from the date of issuance in accordance with applicable Canadian securities law.

In May 2014, the Company received 100,000 Ximen shares (Note 18). Until such time as all payments are delivered, the Company still holds the royalty on any production recorded from the property.

**Laverty:** In 2004 the Company acquired a 100% interest in 1156207 Ontario Ltd. for \$80,000 with the vendor retaining a 1% NSR. 1156207 Ontario Ltd. holds a 100% interest in two groups of patented mineral claims known as the Laverty property, located in Red Lake, Ontario and the Cummins property, located near Larder Lake, Ontario. The carrying value of the property was written down to a nominal value during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

By way of an option agreement dated January 26, 2009, and amended on March 11, 2009, the Company optioned the Laverty property to Mega (formerly Skybridge Development Corp. ("Skybridge")) in consideration of cash payments of \$500,000, 250,000 shares of Skybridge, 500,000 shares of Mega and a \$1,500,000 exploration expenditures commitment. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, Mega completed the commitments and all consideration due to the Company pursuant to this option agreement.

The Company retains a 2% NSR on ore mined from the property. Given that the property had been writtendown to a nominal value, the cash and share consideration received on the property are recognized through earnings.

During the year ended June 30, 2013, cash payments of \$100,000 and 200,000 Mega shares valued on receipt at \$48,000 were received by The Company, who recorded a gain on disposal of unproven mineral right interests of \$148,000.

During the year ended June 30, 2012, cash payments of \$100,000 and 100,000 Mega shares valued on receipt at \$46,000 were received by the Company, who recorded a gain on disposal of unproven mineral right interests of \$146,000.

Given that the Laverty property had been written-down to a nominal value, the cash and share consideration received on the property were recognized through earnings.

**Cummins:** The Cummins property consists of 5 patented mineral claims located in McElroy Township, near Larder Lake, Ontario. The Company has a 100% interest in the project and has not performed any work to date.

## A summary of the Company's unproven mineral right interests is provided below:

	Cumo	Boise	Pinetree	Other	Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Belence July 1 2012					
Balance, July 1 2012	16,543,532	808,450	7,077,857	19,754	24,449,593
Exploration expenditures	02.040				00.010
Assays and analysis	83,019	-	-	-	83,019
Community Relations	95,150	-	-	-	95,150
Drilling	473,330	-	-	-	473,330
Geological/professional fees	83,569	-	-	-	83,569
'Environmental studies	530,036	-	-	-	530,036
Other exploration costs	7,297	-	-	-	7,297
	17,815,933	808,450	7,077,857	19,754	25,721,994
Other item:					
'Acquisition costs and payments	78,717	405,600	-	5,757	490,074
Impairment charges	-	-	(6,652,857)	(5,760)	(6,658,617)
Option payments received	-	-	(10,000)	-	(10,000)
Balance, June 30 2013	17,894,650	1,214,050	415,000	19,751	19,543,451
Balance, July 1 2013	17,894,650	1,214,050	415,000	19,751	19,543,451
Exploration expenditures					
Assays and analysis	339	-	-	-	339
Community Relations	99,500	-	-	-	99,500
Drilling	-	-	-	-	0
Geological/professional fees	63,500	-	-	-	63,500
'Environmental studies	444,824	-	-	-	444,824
Other exploration costs	-	-	-	-	0
	18,505,599	1,214,050	415,000	19,751	20,154,614
Other item:					
'Acquisition costs and payments	96,851	-	9,526	-	106,377
Impairment charges	-	-	(10,841)	-	(10,841)
Option payments received	-	-	(413,685)	(6,751)	(420,436)
Recovery of reclamation bond	-	-	(120)0007	(13,000)	(13,000)
Balance, September 30 2014	18,602,450	1,214,050	0	0	19,813,714
Dalance, Deptember 30 2014	10,002,430	1,214,000	0	U	19,019,714

### **OTHER ASSETS**

As mentioned before in this MD&A, as at June 30, 2013 the Company determined that certain assets should be held for sale, as value from these assets would be recovered principally through a sales transaction rather than from continuing use. These assets were acquired in connection with the Company's former operations as a provider of drilling services, which were discontinued in fiscal 2013. Assets held for sale included land and buildings, drills and drill parts and spares and vehicles.

On reclassification from property, plant and equipment, assets held for sale were measured at \$1,321,427, being the lower of their fair value less the cost to sell or their carrying value. An impairment loss of \$41,828 was incurred in the year ended June 30, 2013 in connection with measurement adjustments of assets held for sale.

All of the Company's vehicles, drills, drill parts and spares were sold as of March 31, 2014. Also, one of the two real estate assets held for sale was sold in this period, and the second real estate asset held for sale was sold in April 2014.

During the fiscal year 2014, the Company received net proceeds of \$1,007,279 from the sale of assets held for sale, and recorded a loss of \$314,048 in connection with these sales. The Company also recorded a cumulative translation adjustment of 265,996 in the period in respect of the translation of the carrying value of available for sales assets denominated in U.S. dollars to Canadian dollars. The loss realized on sale of these assets was mostly associated with the sale in Q2-2014 of drills and drill parts and spares. The Company entered into an agreement with Idaho-based Timberline Drilling, Inc. ("Timberline"), pursuant to which Timberline agreed to purchase all remaining drills, support equipment and inventory owned by the Company. The Company recorded a loss on sale of available for sale assets of \$266,913 on the remaining equipment as the originally estimated fair value less cost to sell of the assets exceeded the actual proceeds received under very constrained market conditions.

During the quarter ending September 30, 2014, the company placed the house in Mina, Nevada which is related to the Pine tree Property in the assets for sale. The current book value of the asset is US\$18,214.

### OTHER

#### **OTHER ASSETS**

In Q1-2014, the Company sold its interest in Vicore Mining Developments Ltd. ("Vicore") for consideration of \$60,000. \$60,000 of the proceeds from the sale of Vicore was received by the Company to June 30, 2014. There was no carrying value associated with the Company's investment in Vicore. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2014 the Company recorded a gain on sale of investment of \$60,000 associated with this transaction.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The Company recorded a net gain of \$19,003 or \$0.001 per share in Q1-2014, compared to a net loss of \$474,472 or \$0.01 per share in the quarter ended September 30, 2013 ("Q1-2013").

The most significant expenses incurred in Q1-2014 were shareholder communications and regulatory expense of \$190,165 (Q1-2013: \$474,472) as result of the special shareholders meeting, consulting and professional fees of \$40,001 (Q1-2013: \$170,390) and salaries and management fees of \$28,014 (\$85,014). Salaries and management fees includes the cost of United States-based outsourced personnel, classified in Q1-2014 within discontinued operations. United States-based staff are assisting in the sale of available for sale assets.

In Q1-2014 the Company recorded a gain on sale of available for sale assets of \$2,500 (\$23,213 in Q1-2013), and in Q1-2014 the Company incurred a gain on expenses associated with discontinued operations of \$292,308 (\$nil in Q1-2013).

Other comprehensive loss of \$29,687 (Q1-2013: other comprehensive income of 47,041) included an unrealized loss on investments of \$7,941 (Q1-2013: unrealized loss of \$23,864) and a cumulative translation adjustment loss of \$18,241 (Q1-2013: \$23,177).

	QUARTERS ENDED				
	30-Sep	30-Jun	31-Mar	31-Dec	
	2014	2014	2014	2013	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Revenue 1					
Net Loss	19,003	(176,284)	(755,301)	(2,370,150)	
Loss per Share (1)	0.001	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.03)	
	30-Sep	30-Jun	31-Mar	31-Dec	
	2013	2013	2013	2012	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Revenue 1					
Net Loss	(474,472)	(8,992,280)	(733,218)	(2,003,568)	
Loss per Share (1)	(0.01)	(0.11)	(0.01)	(0.02)	

#### SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

<sup>1</sup> Reclassified as a component of discontinued operations

<sup>2</sup> Presented on an undiluted basis

Volatility in net loss from period to period exists in respect of material one-off transactions such as disposals and/or impairment of unproven mineral right interests. In the QE December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a loss on sale or forfeiture of investments of \$1,503,127, during the QE June 30, 2013 the Company recorded an impairment of unproven mineral right interests of \$6.6 million on the Pine Tree

Property and substantial impairment charges associated with discontinued operations. QE December 31, 2012 was impacted by the cost of the disputed October 2012 shareholders meeting.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

At September 30, 2014, the Company had a working capital deficiency of \$851,041, compared to a working capital deficiency of \$1,291,240 at June 30, 2014, including a cash balance of \$33,678 (June 30, 2014: \$42,652).

In Q1-2014, the Company's investments in decreased from \$87,584 to \$41,963 due to a decrease in market value and sales of certain investments.

Trade and other payables were \$941,906 at September 30, 2014, compared to \$1,299,173 at June 30, 2014.

The Company has a current portion of convertible notes obligations of \$189,288, comprised of the expected interest payments on convertible notes due between October 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014.

#### Convertible notes

In order to finance the ongoing development of the CuMo Project the Company has borrowed from International Energy & Mineral Resources Investment (Hong Kong) Company Limited ("HK CO") the principal amount of \$1,500,000 and US\$1,500,000, and issued secured convertible notes (the "Notes") in respect of such indebtedness to HK CO (the "Financing").

The Notes have face values of \$1,500,000 and US\$1,500,000, respectively, and mature in October 2017 (the "Maturity Date"). The Notes accrue interest at a rate of 6.5% per annum, calculated and paid annually. At the option of HK CO the Notes shall be convertible at any time prior to the Maturity Date, in whole or in part, into common shares of the Company at a price of \$0.28 per common share, provided that HK CO shall only be permitted to exercise such conversion right to the extent that it results in HK CO holding no greater than 19.9% of the issued and outstanding common shares of CuMoCo.

The Canadian dollar Note is being treated as a compound financial instrument with a debt element treated as a liability and an equity conversion element treated as equity. On issuance the equity conversion feature was valued at \$297,394. Share issue costs of \$3,247 were allocated to the equity conversion feature, resulting on a net equity conversion feature of \$294,147.

The U.S. dollar Note, given it is not denominated in the functional currency of CuMo, is accounted for as a financial liability with an embedded derivative and host debt contract.

The aggregate total of accreted interest, changes in the option conversion component valuation and foreign exchange expense of \$218,144 is reported as convertible note expense (2013: \$169,429 as convertible recoveries) in the Company's statement of loss and comprehensive loss.

HK CO is a "Related Party" of the Company pursuant to the policies of the TSX-V, as HK CO holds approximately 16.12% of the issued and outstanding common shares of CuMoCo. As such, the Financing constituted a "Related Party Transaction" under the policies of the TSX-V. The Company has relied on exemptions from the formal valuation and minority approval requirements which are available to the Company.

The Financing was unanimously approved by the Board of Directors of the Company, other than a director who declared his interest in the Financing and abstained from voting with respect to the Financing as he holds a controlling interest in HK CO. If the principal amount of the Note is converted to the full extent possible, HK CO will increase its shareholdings in the Company from 13,256,666 common shares (approximately 16.12% of the issued and outstanding common shares) to 16,370,226 common shares (which would represent approximately 19.9% of the issued and outstanding common shares), assuming that no additional common shares of CuMoCo are issued prior to such conversion.

Exploration activity in the Company's projects and general and administrative overheads in fiscal 2014 were funded from cash at hand, sale of available for sale assets and from the proceeds of option payments on the Pine Tree property.

The Company is in the exploration stage and therefore does not generate operating cash flows. The Company's ability to continue operations is contingent on its ability to obtain funds through the future issuance of securities.(See Subsequent Activities)

Fiscal 2014 the Company paid \$201,883 in interest payments on the convertible debenture.

#### **Operating Activities**

Cash used in continuing operations in Q1-2014, including the changes in non-cash working capital items, was \$511,046 (Q1-2013: \$421,600).

#### **Investing Activities**

Cash used in investing activities in Q1-2014 was \$29,687 (Q1-2013: \$3,916). Investing activities in Q1-2014 included expenditures on unproven mineral right interests of \$61,375 (Q1-2013: \$301,202), proceeds from the sale of available for sale investments of \$54,361 (Q1-2013: \$nil) and the addition of the contractor bond for Nevada \$22,673.

#### TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

Details of the transactions between the Company and other related parties are disclosed below.

#### Trading transactions

The Company's related parties consist of companies owned by or associated with executive officers and directors, and former executive officers and directors as follows:

1330275 Ontario Inc. Geologic Systems Inc. Delphis Financial Strategies Inc. International Energy & Mineral Resources Investment (Hong Kong) Company Limited Jurisino Group Nature of transactions Management fees Exploration and administration fees Management fees

Management fees Management fees

During the quarters ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 the Company incurred the following fees in the normal course of operations in connection with companies owned by key management and directors. Fees have been measured at the exchange amount which is determined on a cost recovery basis.

	Quarters ended S	Quarters ended September 30,		
	2014	2013		
	\$	\$		
Salaries and management fees	28,014	91,000		
Exploration fees	7,500	7,500		
Investor relations and other fees	24,000	15,000		
	59,514	113,500		

Amounts due to related parties are unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on demand. Trade and other payables at September 30, 2014 included \$59,514 (June 30, 2014: \$123,492), which were due to officers, director and private companies controlled by directors and officers of the Company.

Amounts payable by related parties are unsecured, non-interest bearing and payable on demand. Trade and other receivables at September 30, 2014 included \$nil (September 30, 2013: \$118,034), which were due by a private company controlled by a director and officer of the Company, and by a director and officer of the Company

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The Company's significant accounting policies are summarized in Note 3 of its audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2013. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires management to select accounting policies and make estimates and judgments that may have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

The Company regularly reviews its estimates; however, actual amounts could differ from the estimates used and, accordingly, materially affect the results of operations.

Examples of significant estimates include:

- Carrying values of mineral right interests;
- Carrying values of equipment and depreciation rates for equipment;
- Estimated values less costs to sell of available for sale assets;
- Valuation of deferred income taxes and allowances;
- Assumptions used to assess impairment of mineral right interests and equipment;
- Valuation of share-based payments.

Examples of significant judgments, apart from those involving estimates, include:

- The accounting policies for mineral right interests and equipment;
- Classification of financial instruments;
- Determination of functional currency.

#### **OPERATIONAL AND OTHER BUSINESS RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

The following risk factors, as well as risks not currently known to the Company, could materially adversely affect the Company's future business, operations and financial condition and could cause them to differ materially from the estimates described in the forward-looking statements and information contained herein. The risks sets out below include those that are widespread and associated with any form of business and those that are specific risks associated with the Company's business and its involvement in the exploration and mining industry generally, and in the United States in particular. Shareholders and prospective investors should carefully consider, in light of their own financial circumstance, the factors set out herein.

#### **Global Financial Conditions**

Global financial conditions in recent years have been characterized by high levels of volatility. Access to financing has been negatively impacted by many factors as a result. This may impact the Company's ability to obtain financing in the future at all, or on reasonable terms. Additionally, global economic conditions may cause decreases in asset values that are deemed to be other than temporary, which may result in impairment losses.

#### **Fluctuation of Commodity Prices**

The Company's exploration and other mining activities have previously been, and may in the future be, significantly adversely affected by declines in commodity prices. Commodity prices are volatile and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control such as the sale or purchase of metals by various central banks and financial institutions, interest rates, exchange rates, inflation or deflation, fluctuation in the value of the United States dollar and foreign currencies, global and regional supply and demand, and the political and economic conditions of major mining countries throughout the world. Any future serious drop in commodity prices or sustained low commodity prices could adversely impact the Company's future revenues, profits and cash flows. In particular, sustained low, or further reductions in, commodity prices could:

- reduce or eliminate the Company's ability to finance the exploration of existing and future mineral projects;
- force the Company to lose its interest in, or to sell, all or some of its properties;
- halt or delay the development of existing or new projects; and
- reduce the value of the Company's securities.

Furthermore, declining or sustained low commodity prices could impact the Company's operations by requiring a reassessment of the feasibility of any existing or new projects. Even if the project is ultimately determined to be economically viable, the need to conduct such a reassessment may cause substantial delays or may interrupt operations until the reassessment can be completed.

#### **Dependence on Third Party Financing**

The Company has limited access to operational cash flow. As a result, the Company will continue to depend on third party financing to fund future working capital, capital expenditures, operating and exploration costs and other general corporate requirements. The success and the pricing of any such capital raising and/or debt financing will be dependent upon the prevailing market conditions at that time and upon the ability of a company without any significant projects already in production to attract significant amounts of debt and/or equity. There can be no assurance that such financing will be available to the Company or, if it is, that it will be offered on acceptable terms. Failure to obtain sufficient financing, as and when required, may result in a delay or indefinite postponement of exploration or development on any or all of the Company's properties.

#### **Currency Risk**

The Company maintains most of its working capital in Canadian dollars. The Company currently operates in the United States, Canada and Mexico and its operating costs are incurred in a combination of United States dollars, Canadian dollars or Mexican pesos. Accordingly, the Company is subject to fluctuations in the rates of currency exchange between these currencies. The Company has not hedged its exposure to currency fluctuations.

#### Economic and Political Instability in Foreign Jurisdictions

The Company currently operates in Canada, the United States and Mexico. There are risks to conducting business in foreign countries. These risks may include, among others, invalidation of governmental orders and permits, uncertain political and economic environments, sovereign risk, war, civil disturbances, arbitrary changes in laws or policies, the failure of foreign parties to honour contractual relations, delays in obtaining or the inability to obtain necessary governmental permits, authorizations and consents, limitations on foreign ownership, limitations on the repatriation of earnings, limitations on exports, instability due to economic under-development, inadequate infrastructure and increased financing costs.

In addition, the enforcement by the Company of its legal rights to exploit its properties may not be recognized by any foreign government or by the court system of a foreign country. These risks may limit or disrupt the Company's operations, restrict the movement of funds or result in the deprivation of mining related rights or the taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation.

#### **Mineral Exploration**

Mineral resource exploration is highly speculative, involves substantial expenditures, and is frequently unsuccessful. Few prospects that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. To the extent that the Company continues to be involved in exploration, the long-term success of its operations will be related to the cost and success of its exploration programs. There can be no assurance that the Company's exploration efforts will be successful.

The success of exploration is determined in part on the following factors:

- the identification of potential mineralization based on superficial analysis;
- availability of prospective land;
- availability of government-granted exploration and exploitation permits;
- the quality of management and geological and technical expertise; and
- the capital available for exploration and development.

Substantial expenditures are required to determine if a project has economically mineable mineralization. It could take several years to establish proven and probable mineral reserves and to develop and construct mining and processing facilities. As a result of these uncertainties, there can be no assurance that current and future exploration programs will result in the discovery of mineral reserves and the development of mines.

#### Dilution

The Company does not generate any revenues from production and does not have sufficient financial resources to undertake by itself all its planned exploration programs. The Company has limited financial resources and has financed its operations primarily through the sale of securities such as common shares. The Company will need to continue its reliance on the sale of such securities for future financing, resulting in dilution to the Company's existing shareholders. The amount of additional funds required will depend largely on the success of the Company's exploration programs.

Further exploration programs will depend on the Company's ability to obtain additional financing which may not be available under favorable terms, if at all. If adequate financing is not available, the Company may not be able to commence or continue with its exploration programs or to meet minimum expenditure requirements to prevent the full or partial loss of its mineral right interests. Also, failure to meet the Company's share of costs incurred under joint venture arrangements to which it may be a party may result in a reduction of its interests in mineral right interests. Furthermore, if other parties to such agreements do not meet their share of such costs, the Company may be unable to finance the cost required to complete the recommended programs.

#### **Resource Estimates**

The mineral resource estimates presented herein are made by Company personnel and independent geologists. These estimates are imprecise and depend upon geological interpretation and statistical inferences drawn from drilling and sampling analysis, which may prove to be unreliable. There can be no assurance estimates will be accurate. The inclusion of mineral resource estimates should not be regarded as a representation that these amounts can be economically exploited and no assurances can be given that such resource estimates will be converted into reserves. Different experts may provide different interpretations of resource estimates.

#### **Operating Hazards and Other Uncertainties**

The Company's business operations are subject to risks and hazards inherent in the mining industry. The exploration for and the development of mineral deposits involves significant risks, including:

- environmental hazards;
- discharge of pollutants or hazardous chemicals;
- industrial accidents;
- labour disputes and shortages;
- supply and shipping problems and delays;
- shortage of equipment and contractor availability;
- unusual or unexpected geological or operating conditions;
- fire;
- changes in the regulatory environment; and
- natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, floods and earthquakes.

These or other occurrences could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties, personal injury or death, environmental damage, delays in mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability. The Company could also incur liabilities as a result of pollution and other casualties all of which could be very costly and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

#### Limitations on the Transfer of Cash or Other Assets

The Company is a Canadian company that conducts operations through subsidiaries and joint ventures in the United States and Mexico, and substantially all of the Company's assets consist of equity in these entities. Accordingly, any limitation on the transfer of cash or other assets between the Company and these entities, or among these entities, could restrict the Company's ability to fund its operations efficiently.

#### **Permitting Requirements**

Mining exploration and operations require many permits from federal, state and local governments. These permits may not be issued on a timely basis or at all, and such permits, when issued, may be subject to requirements or conditions with which it is burdensome or expensive to comply. Furthermore, there is no assurance that delays will not occur in connection with obtaining all necessary renewals of existing permits, additional permits for any possible future changes to operations, or additional permits associated with new legislation.

#### **Government Regulation**

Mineral businesses are subject to regulation and intervention by governments in such matters as the imposition of specific exploration, drilling and development obligations; environmental protection controls and control over the development and abandonment of resource (including restrictions on production). As well, governments may regulate or intervene with respect to prices, taxes, royalties and the exportation of commodities. Such regulation may be changed from time to time in response to economic or political conditions. The implementation of new regulations or the modification of existing regulations affecting the minerals industries could reduce demand for commodities produced, increase the Company's operating costs and have a material adverse impact on the Company.

#### **Environmental Risks**

All phases of the Company's operations are subject to environmental regulation in the various jurisdictions in which it operates. There is no assurance that the Company will have or be able to obtain all necessary environmental approvals, licenses, permits or consents or be in compliance therewith or that notwithstanding its precautions, breaches of environmental laws (whether inadvertent or not) will not occur. The lack of or inability to obtain any such approvals, licences, permits or consents or any breaches of environmental laws, may result in penalties including fines or other sanctions, breach of the conditions of a mining concession or other consent or permit with possible revocation of the concession, consent or permit. In this regard, environmental hazards may exist on the properties in which the Company has an interest which are unknown to the Company at present and which have been caused by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties.

Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, will not adversely affect the Company's operations, or its ability to develop its properties economically.

#### **Litigation Risks**

All industries, including the mining industry, are subject to legal claims, with and without merit. Defense and settlement costs can be substantial, even with respect to claims that have no merit. Due to the inherent uncertainty of the litigation process, the resolution of any particular legal proceeding could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

#### Competition

The Company faces strong competition from other mining companies in connection with the acquisition of properties producing, or capable of producing, precious or base metals. The majority of these companies have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities. As a result, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully against other companies in acquiring new prospecting, development or mining rights.

#### **Title Matters**

The Company periodically confirms the validity of its title to, or contract rights with respect to, each mineral property in which it has a material interest. However, the Company cannot guarantee that title to its properties will not be challenged. The Company's mineral properties could be subject to prior unregistered agreements, transfers or claims, and title could be affected by, among other things, undetected defects. In addition, the Company might be unable to operate its properties as permitted or to enforce its rights with respect thereto.

#### **Community Relations and Social License**

Advancing a mineral deposit to commercial production involves the understanding of local communities. "Social license" is a broad term used to describe community acceptance of a proposed development project, a condition that is commonly required for the issuance of final permits and project financing. The Company believes that communities should benefit from mining projects, from the exploration stage through mine operation and closure. While there cannot be guarantees that local communities will want a mine in the area where the Company's core project is located, the Company will work towards implementing a strategy it considers appropriate to accomplish this.

#### Insurance Coverage Could Be Insufficient

While the Company maintains certain insurance to protect against certain risks, the nature of these risks is such that liability could exceed policy limits or could be excluded from coverage. There are also risks against which the Company cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure. Losses from these events may cause substantial delays and require significant capital outlays, adversely affecting future financial performance and results of operations.

#### **Dependence on Key Personnel**

The Company is dependent on a relatively small number of key personnel, the loss of any of whom could have an adverse effect on the operations of the Company. The Company's success is dependent to a great degree on its ability to attract and retain qualified management personnel. The loss of such key personnel, through incapacity or otherwise, would require the Company to seek and retain other qualified personnel and could compromise the pace and success of its exploration activities. The Company does not maintain key person insurance in the event of a loss of any such key personnel. Also, certain management personnel of the Company are officers and/or directors of other publicly-traded companies and will only devote part of their time to the Company.

Additionally, the Company has relied on and is expected to continue relying upon consultants and others for exploration expertise. In the event a commercial ore deposit is discovered on any of the Company's right interests, the Company will likely require the expertise of such consultants and others for the development and operation of a producing mine.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

Certain of the directors and officers of the Company are directors or officers of other mineral resource companies and, to the extent that such other companies may participate in ventures in which the Company may participate, the directors of the Company may have a conflict of interest in negotiating and concluding terms respecting the extent of such participation. In the event that such a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the directors of the Company, a director who has such a conflict will abstain from voting for or against the approval of such matter. Furthermore, in appropriate cases the Company will establish a special committee of independent directors to review a matter in which several directors, or management, may have a conflict.

#### CONTINGENCIES

a) On August 22, 2013, the Company filed a Notice for Civil Claim (the "Claim") for damages against certain former members of management and a private company controlled by former members of management (the "Defendants"). The Claim arises from actions undertaken while the Defendants were in positions of management with fiduciary responsibilities to the shareholders of the Company.

The Company is attempting to recover assets and other amounts from a former officer and director. A substantial portion of these amounts relates to equipment transferred at book value to a private Mexican company that was previously considered to be a subsidiary of the Company but which, during the year ended June 30, 2013, was determined to be controlled directly by this former officer and director. The assets of this private company are comprised substantially of drilling equipment.

Given the uncertainties involved surrounding recovery of these amounts, along with substantiating the Company's current beneficial ownership of these assets, during the year ended June 30, 2013, the Company fully provided against this amount through a charge to discontinued operations in the amount of \$1,000,185. The Company is however pursuing this matter vigorously and in the event of recovery through successful litigation, the Company would record a gain on settlement of litigation.

- b) Liberty Mutual Insurance Company asserted a claim against the Kirkness (USA) for unpaid premium of US\$142,374 plus interest, costs of suit and attorney's fees. The amount in dispute is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Note: Under Nevada Law, The Company is not responsible for the debts of its subsidiary as it is simply a shareholder.
- c) On February 13, 2013, the Company was served with a Notice of Civil Claim by Bill Jefferies, CuMoCo's former Chief Financial Officer, Corporate Secretary and director, relating to management fees that Mr. Jefferies has claimed are owed to him in the amount of \$107,510. Subsequent to June 30, 2013, the Company filed a Notice of Civil Claim against Mr. Jefferies and other former members of management (Note 22).
- d) In the year ended June 30, 2013, the Company received an invoice for \$77,705 from Multi-Power Products Ltd., a supplier of drill supplies, and disputed this invoice. The drill supplies were shipped directly by the supplier to a Mexican company owned by a former director and officer of the Company. During the year ended June 30, 2014, Kirkness and the Company were served with a Notice of Civil Claim by the supplier.
- e) A lawsuit was filed by International Energy & Mineral Resources Investment (Hong Kong) Company Limited ("IEMR HK") against Shaun Dykes (President and CEO) and American CuMo Mining Corporation

for the default in the USD\$1,500,000 and CAD\$1,500,000 convertible notes (Note 10). IEMR HK is a company which is controlled by Mr. Hongxue Fu, who was the Chairman of the Company at the time the lawsuit was filed. This lawsuit was filed on June 17, 2014 and stayed on July 17, 2014.

f) IEMR HK filed an additional lawsuit in an attempt to place the Company into receivership. The Company is vigorously defending this lawsuit. This was filed July 21, 2014, just prior to the shareholderrequisitioned general meeting and was adjourned on August 1, 2014 at the request of the opposing counsel.

#### SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent to September 30, 2014:

- October 10: The TSX Venture Exchange approved the private placement announced July 3, 2014 (funds and shares included in this quarter) and the placement was closed.
- November 25: The Company paid the interest due on the IEMR(HK) \$US convertible debenture.
- With regards to the legal action taken by IEMR(HK) (17 f), the hearing has been scheduled for January 27 and 28 2015. The Company maintains that with the interest payments having been paid, the debentures are in good standing and the case has no merit.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This document contains statements that constitute forward-looking information under applicable Canadian securities laws, such as those relating to results of operations and financial condition, capital spending, financing sources, commodity prices, mineral resources and property evaluation plans and programs. Forward-looking information is frequently characterized by words such as "plan", "expect", "project", "intend", "believe", "anticipate" and other similar words, or statements that certain events or conditions "may" or "will" occur. Forward-looking information is based on the opinions and estimates of management at the dates the statements are made, and are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. The forward-looking information contained in this MD&A is as of the date of this document, and is subject to change after this date. Readers are cautioned that the assumptions used in the preparation of such information, although considered reasonable at the time of preparation, may prove to be imprecise and, as such, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking information.

CuMoCo disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, other than as required by law.

#### OTHER MD&A REQUIREMENTS

As of November 28, 2014, the Company had outstanding 93,262,446 common shares, 11,000,000 share purchase warrants with an exercise price of \$0.10 per share and 4,050,000 share options, with an exercise price of \$0.35 per share. Additional information is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and at the Company's website www.cumoco.com.